PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From th	e NATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ITY		Tap.		
To:				PCT PCT		
			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 664389			FOR FURTHER ACTION			
		101	See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. PCT/JP2004/005707 International filing date (21.04.2004			(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.04.2003		
Internati	ional Patent Classification (IPC) or both	national classification an	od IPC			
Applica	ni					
	SUSHITA ELECTRIC I	NDUSTRIAL C	O. LTD.			
1.	This opinion contains indications relat	ing to the following items				
1.	This opinion contains indications relat Box No. 1 Basis of the	-	s:			
	Box No. II Basis of the	optnion				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial					
	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
	$\overline{\Box}$		olication			
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2	ELIDMINOD A CYNICAL					
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of a International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
3.	For further details, see notes to Form F	CT/ISA/220.				
Name an	nd mailing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005707

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	_	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a .	type of material
	[a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b	format of material
	[in written format
	Ĺ	in computer readable form
	c. 1	time of filing/furnishing
	Ĺ	contained in the international application as filed.
	Ĺ	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Ĺ	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	1	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	onal comments:
		<u> </u>

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005707

			/;
Statement			-
Novelty (N)	Claims	4-7, 9-12	YES
	Clains	1-3, 8	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	5, 6, 9	YES
	Claims	1-4, 7-8, 10-12	 NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
	Citations and explain Statement Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)	Statement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	Statement Statement

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 2002-280852 A (Sharp Corp.), 27 September 2002 & US 2002/0131533 A1

Document 2: JP 2000-209118 A (Sharp Corp.), 28 July 2000

Document 3: JP 2001-186083 A (Toshiba Corp.), 06 July 2001

Document 4: JP 2002-217763 A (Sony Corp.), 02 August 2002

Claims 1-3, 8

Because the subject matter of claims 1-3 and 8 is described in document 1 (paragraphs 0103-0130) cited in the ISR, it does not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step.

Claim 4

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 2 cited in the ISR (paragraphs 0040-0045). Determining the size of the input signal by the average value taught in document 2 would be easy for a party skilled in the art.

Claim 7

The subject matter of claim 7 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 3 (paragraphs 0011-0012) cited in the ISR.

Claims 10-12

The subject matter of claims 10-12 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 and document 4 (paragraphs 0025-0027) cited in the ISR.

Claims 5, 6, 9

None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the subject matter of claims 5, 6 and 9; nor would it be obvious to a party skilled in the art.